



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called the attorney regarding the amendment of "nonremovably" and noted that the specification failed to support the amendment. It was cited in the applicant's remarks that page 5, line 30 of the specification supported the amendment. The attorney stated that the portion of the specification was wrongly cited and pointed to page 26, lines 4-6 that discloses heat bonding the stylet to the distal end of the second tubular member.